

## United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

			www.uspto.gov	·
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 03/14/2000	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Savvas Vasileiadis	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 7655
Savvas Vasileiadis Zivatech 15549 Dearborn Street North Hills, CA 91343-3267			EXAMINER  VANOY, TIMOTHY C  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1754  DATE MAILED: 11/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITE. JATEL L. PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

		TATES OF	
		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED AFFEIGATT	
A , Comme			
		·	
		Γ	EXAMINER
		L	
		Γ	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
		L	15
			13
		_	- ATT MAII ED:
			DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Mr. Szvvas Vasileiadis, Inventor (3) Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached. (NOT APPLICABLE) NONE Claim(s) discussed:\_\_\_ Identification of prior art discussed: NONE Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inventor inquired whether or not the Written Restriction Reg could be traversed. Exmir. that's proper response should include instructions to cancel all pending claims and introduce a new set of claims drawn to the elected invention. The response may also include another set(s) of additional claims directed to a non-elected invention (s) along with a traverse that explains why the extra invention (s) should also be examined along with the elected why the extra invention (s) should also be examined along with the elected why the extra invention (s) should also be examined along with the elected why the extra invention (s) should also be examined along with the elected why the extra invention (s) should also be examined along with the elected why the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) invention. The numbering of the new claims should be segmential.

\*\*Elected\*\*

\*\*Elec It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office of the contrary of the contr action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form. Tromby Variety

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1:133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the (u) in every instance where reconsideration is requested in view or an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of 9 1.4. Dustriess to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132) applicants of their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be pased exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates to record the substance of interviews. to the responsibility of the applicant of the automey of agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application me, unless the examiner indicates the or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of the or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has Examiners most complete a two-sneet carbon interieur mierview summary rorm for each interview neto after January 1, 1976 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in near handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the wrapper in a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the wrapper in the property of the personal interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy An indication of the general nature of the agreement of the general nature of the agreement of the general nature of the gen of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and It is desireable that the examiner orany remind the applicant or his obligation to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview in each case unless boundaries the form the examiner should show a but of the form information that the examiner will record same. examiner agree that the examiner will record same, where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, a should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,

- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
  4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- Form completed by the examiner,
  5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or a oner ruentification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature elaborate. A verbatim or nignity detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to
- emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- o) a general management of any other permient matters discussed, and 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c) ).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the Applicant's summary or what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the interview along with the data and accurate the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the page, recording the substance of the interview along with the data and claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting form his of her version of the statement attributed to him, it the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

TIMOTHY VANDY PATENT EXAMINER ARTUNIT HOS. 125 T